

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/700,417	BINGGELI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.	1626	

All Participants:

Status of Application: 121

(1) Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.

(3) _____

(2) Brian C. Remy (Reg. No. 48,176).

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 26 April 2006

Time: 10:39am

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

51 and 54-56

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner called Applicants' representative to inform him that the products were allowable and that permission was being sought to cancel rejoined claims 54-56, directed to methods of use, since these claims raised a question of patentability under 35 USC 112, first paragraph. Mr. Remy was informed that rejoined claim 51, directed to a process of making, was also allowable.


4/26/06